



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

*Archibegne, Albert Daniel*  
Defendant.

Case No.: SA CR 10 -93 -DOC

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER  
HEARING

[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C.  
§ 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the CD CA, for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

A. ☒ The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on ba, l resources unknown;  
noncompliance w/ supervision conditions; prior FTA;  
parole viol history evidences on inability to

1 comply with supervision conditions; substance  
2 abuse history

3 and/or

4 B. (X) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and  
5 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any  
6 other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This  
7 finding is based on criminal history record, incl multiple  
8 convicts for crimes of violence, a drug possession  
9 convict; parole violation history; substance  
10 abuse history

11  
12  
13 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further  
14 revocation proceedings.

15  
16 DATED: 6/14/11

  
17 ROBERT N. BLOCK  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28